

# NERSC boosts the performance of its scientific applications on Intel® Xeon Phi™ processors up to 35% using Intel® Parallel Studio and Intel® Advisor



The National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC) is the primary scientific computing facility for the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Science. Located at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, the NERSC Center serves more than 6,000 scientists at national laboratories and universities researching a wide range of problems in combustion, climate modeling, fusion energy, materials science, physics, chemistry, computational biology, and other disciplines.

To meet its goals, NERSC needs to optimize its diverse applications for peak performance on Intel® Xeon Phi™ processors. To do that, it uses a roofline analysis model based on Intel® Advisor, a tool in the Intel® Parallel Studio software suite. The roofline model was originally developed by Sam Williams, a computer scientist in the Computational Research Division at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Using the model increased application performance up to 35%.

## **Roofline Analysis**

"Optimizing complex applications demands a sense of absolute performance," explained Dr. Tuomas Koskela, postdoctoral fellow at NERSC. "There are many potential optimization directions. It's essential to know which direction to take, what factors are limiting performance, and when to stop."

Roofline analysis helps to determine the gap between applications and peak performance of a computing platform. This visually intuitive performance model bounds the performance of various numerical methods and operations running on multi-core, many-core, or accelerator processor architectures.

Instead of simply using percent-of-peak estimates, the model can be used to assess the quality of performance by combining locality, bandwidth, and different parallelization paradigms into a single performance figure. The roofline figure helps determine both the implementation and inherent performance limitations (Figure 1).

A classic roofline model includes three measurements:

- 1. The number of floating point operations per second (FLOP/s)
- 2. The number of bytes from DRAM
- 3. Computation time



## All-in-One Tool

The Intel Advisor roofline implementation provides even more insights than a standard roofline analysis by plotting more rooflines:



Figure 1. Roofline visual performance model

- **Cache rooflines** illustrate performance if all the data fits into the respective cache.
- Vector usage rooflines show the maximum achievable performance levels if vectorization is used effectively.

In a classic roofline model, bytes are measured out of a given level in memory hierarchy. Arithmetic intensity (AI) depends on the problem size and intensity. Also, memory optimizations will change AI.

Intel Advisor is based on a cache-aware roofline model, where bytes are measured into the CPU from all levels of memory hierarchy. Al is independent of problem size and platform and consistent for a given algorithm.

Figure 2 shows how a point moves in a classic versus a cache-aware roofline model.

Figure 3 shows Intel Advisor's cache-aware roofline model report. The red loop is the most time-consuming, while the green loops are insignificant in terms of computing time. The larger loops will have more impact if optimized. The loops furthest away from a roof have the most potential for improvement.

ie nen neip													
	F = 15 8 8 A	8 18 2	•										
Velcome rank.	ж												
Elapsed tin	ne: 309.28s	ized O Not	Vectorized 🖉 FILT	ER: All M	odules 🗸	All Source	es v Loo	DDS V A	ll Threads 🗸	OFF	Smart M	tode	Q
Summary 5	Survey Report Roof	fline Chart	A Refinement Rer	orts								INTEL A	DVISOR 201
Desformance /			X III III Use Si	ingle-Three	ided Roofs								
renormance (c	srt0rs) ···								D	Pillector	FMA Reak: 2.5	623.44	I GELORS
2.2623e+3									B C	P Veda	n Aldd Peaks	30084	GPUOPS
										- Ocála	r Add Peak: 1.3	044e+;	GFLOPS
								0	0 -				
							ě.,	•					
		- 1000					•						
	-0.69	53 001						•	•				
	unt 9963.68	53 Golden					. 1	•	•				
	a Bandwidth: 9963.68	13 Golsec					.1.	<i>.</i>	•				
	L1 Bandwidth: 9963.68	13 Galsec					1.	<b>.</b>	•				
	L1 Bandwidth: 9963.68	53 Galsec 13 Galsec 595 Galsec		•		•	1.	<b>.</b>	•				
	L1 Bandwidth 9963.68 L2 Bandwidth 1663.98	53 Galsec 13 Galsec 595 Galsec		•		0		<b>.</b>	•				
	L1 Bandwidth 9963.68 L2 Bandwidth 1663.98 DRAM Bandwidth 338.2	53 Golsec 13 Golsec 595 Golsec		•	•	•		<b>.</b> .	•				
5 6560- 1	L1 Bandwidth: 9963.687 L2 Bandwidth: 1663.987 DRAM Bandwidth: 338.2	53 Golsec 13 Golsec 595 Golsec		•	•	۰		<b>.</b>	•				
5.6560e-4 -	L1 Bandwidth, 9963,68 L2 Bandwidth, 1663,98 DRIM Bandwidth, 338,2	53 Galsec 595 Galsec	*****	•	•	•		<b>.</b>	•				
5.6560e-4 -	L1 Bandwidth: 9963-687 L2 Bandwidth: 1663-98 DRAM Bandwidth: 338-2	53 Galsec 13 Galsec 595 Galsec	*****	•	•	0		, <i>e</i> .	•			6.68	1
5.6560e-4 -	L1 Bandwidth 9963-68 L2 Bandwidth 1663-98 DRAM Bandwidth 338-2 0 0 879 s Total Time: 5	13 (0)(565 595 (0)(565 595 (0)(565	****	•	•	•	•	, <del>.</del> .	•	A	rithmetic Inte	6.688	1 LOP/byte
5.6560e-4 Self Time: 5	Li Berdwicht, 9963,68 Li Berdwicht, 1663,98 DRAM Bendwicht, 139,2 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	13 (3)(55) 595 (3)(55) 595 (3)(55) 595 (3)(55)	•	• •	•	•	• <b>!</b> ; ; •	, <i>.</i> .	•	٨	rithmetic Into	6.688 msity (1	1 LOP/byte
5.6560e-4 - Self Time: <b>5</b> Source Top D	L1 Bandwidth, 9963 68 12 Bandwidth, 1663 96 pRAM Bandwidth, 338.2 0 .879 s Total Time: 5 own Code Analytics	13 (0)595 13 (0)595 595 (0)595 .879 s Assembly	• • Recommendati	• • •	• ay No Vecto	• prization?	• <u> </u> ; , •	, <i>.</i>	•	A	rithmetic Inte	6.688 msity (1	1 LOP/byte
5.6560e-4 - Self Time: 5 Source Top D File: particles	Li Bendwidth, 9963,687 Li Bendwidth, 1663,987 DRAM Bendwidth, 338,2 0 .879 s. Total Time: 5 own. Code Analytics push.F90:1101 pyr	13 Colsec 595 Colsec .879 s Assembly _bpush_v	• Recommendati	• • •	• vy No Vecto	• prization?	• ! : .	, <i>.</i> .	•	A	rithmetic Inte	6.688 Insity (1	1 LOP/byb
5.6560e-4 - Self Time: 5 Source Top D File: particles Lin.	L1 Bardwelth, 9963 68 L2 Bardwelth, 1663 96 (PAM Bandwelth, 338-2 0 .879 s Total Time: 5 own Code Analytics push, 5903 1101 pyr	.879 s Assembly bpush_v	• • Recommendati Source	• • • v	• ny No Vecto	• prization?	•!;	<b>.</b>	• Total Time	A/ 96	Loop Time	6.688 msity (1 %	1 LOP/byte Traits
5.6560e-4 Self Time: 5 Source Top D File: particles Lin. 6 D0 j	L1 Bardwar, 9963 68 C Bardwar, 1953 98 0 0 879 s Total Time: 5 0 879 s Total Time: 5 0 Code Analytics public P00: 1101 per p=1, np	.879 s Assembly _bpush_v	• Recommendati Source	• • • •	• ny No Vecto	O Prization?	•!	<b>.</b>	Total Time 0.185s	96	rithmetic Inte Loop Time 5.879s	6.688 msity (1 %	1 LOP/byb Traits
5.6560e-4 Self Time: 5 Source Top D File: particles Lin. 11. ® D0 i	L1 Bandwidt, 995 08 L2 Bandwidt, 195 98 L2 Bandwidt, 195 98 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13 Golden 13 Golden 14 Golden 14 Golden 14 Golden 14 Golden 15 Golden 15 Golden 15 Golden 16 Golden 17 Golden 18 Golden 19 Gol	• Recommendati Source	• • •	• No Vecto	• prization?	• • • •	<b>. .</b>	• Total Time 0.185s 0.108s	A/ 96	thmetic Inte Loop Time 5.879s	6.688 msity (1 %	1 LOP/byte Traits
5.6560e-4 - Self Time: 5 Source Top D File: particles, Lin. 00 ij L1.	L1 Bardwith, 995 CF C2 Bardwith, 1959 SF C3 Bardwith, 1959 SF C3 Bardwith, 386 2 0 879 S Total Time: 5 0 879 S Total Time: 5 0 0 879 S Total Time: 5 0 0 879 S Total Time: 5 0 0 879 S Total Time: 5 0 870 S Total Time: 5 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.879 s Assembly _bpush_v ip)*const ip)*const	• Recommendati Source	• • •	• ny No Vecto	• prization?	•!:	, <b>.</b> .	• Total Time 0.185s 0.108s 0.470s	<b>%</b> 96	Loop Time 5.879s	6.688 msity (I %	1 LOP/byto Traits
5.6560e-4 - Self Time: 5 Source Top D File: particles Lin. U. B D0 ij L1.	L1 Bandwett, 1983 08 C2 Bandwett, 1983 08 C3 Bandwett, 1983 08 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.879 s Assembly _bpush_v ip)*const ip)*const io)*const	• Recommendati Source	• • ons ¤ Wh	• vy No Vecto	• prization?	•!;	, <i>.</i> .	• Total Time 0.185s 0.108s 0.470s 0.418s	<b>%</b> %	Loop Time 5.879s	6.688 msity (I %	1 LOP/byte Traits
5.6560e-4 Self Time: 5 Source Top D File: particles Lin. 0 0 ij L1. 11 L1. 11 L1.	LI Bardware, 995 00 2 Bardware, 195 00 2 Bardware, 195 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	.879 s .879 s .879 s .879 s .995 colses .995 colses .9	• Recommendati Source	• • •	• ny No Vecto	• prization? Sel	ected (Tota	I Time):	• 0.185s 0.470s 0.418s 0.485s	<b>A</b> 96	rithmetic Inte Loop Time 5.879s	6.688 msity (1 %	1 LOP/byt Traits

Figure 3. Intel Advisor report



Figure 2. How a point moves in classic versus cache-aware roofline model

#### **Cache-Aware Roofline Model in Action**

NERSC used Intel Advisor's cache-aware roofline model to optimize two of its key applications:

- 1. **PICSAR**\*, a high-performance particle-in-cell (PIC) library for many integrated core (MIC) architectures
- 2. XGC1\*, a PIC code for fusion plasmas

The PICSAR application was designed to be interfaced with the existing PIC code, WARP\*. Providing high-performance PIC routines to the community, it is planned to be released as an open source project.

The application is used for projects in plasma physics, lasermatter interaction, and conventional particle accelerators. Its optimizations include:

- L2 field cache blocking, where the MPI domain decomposes into tiles
- **Hybrid parallelization**, with OpenMP\* handling tiles (inner-node parallelism)
- New data structures to enable efficient vectorization (current/charge disposition)
- An efficient parallel particle exchange algorithm between tiles
- Parallel optimized pseudo spectral Maxwell solver
- Particle sorting algorithm (memory locality)

NERSC applied the roofline model to three configurations:

- No tiling and no vectorization
- Tiling (L2 cache blocking) and no vectorization
- Tiling (L2 cache blocking) and vectorization

The XGC1 application is a PIC code for simulating plasma turbulence in Tokamak (edge) fusion plasmas. Its complicated geometry includes:

- Unstructured mesh in 2D (poloidal) planes
- Nontrivial, field-following (toroidal) mapping between meshes

#### Case Study | Optimizing Application Performance with Roofline Analysis

Typical simulations with 10,000 particles per cell, 1,000,000 cells per domain, and 64 toroidal domains

Most of the computation time in SGC1 is spent in electron subcycling. Bottlenecks included:

- Field interpolation to particle position in field gather
- Element search on the unstructured mesh after push]
- Computation of high-order terms in gyrokinetic equations of motion in push

With a single Intel Advisor survey, NERSC was able to discover most of the bottlenecks (Figure 4).

The optimizations included:

- Enabling vectorization by inserting loops over blocks of particles inside short-trip-count loops
- Data structure reordering to store field and particle data in SoA format, which is best for accessing multiple components with a gather instruction

Algorithmic improvements including reducing the number of unnecessary calls to the search routine and sorting particles by the element index instead of local coordinates.

### Conclusions

Intel Advisor's roofline analysis helped NERSC find peak performance for its computing platform, providing an all-inone tool for cache-aware roofline analysis.

#### Learn More

- Intel® Parallel Studio Create Faster Code...Faster
- Intel® Advisor Vectorization Optimization and Thread Prototyping
- Getting Started with the Intel Advisor Roofline Feature
- Analyzing Intel<sup>®</sup> MPI Applications using Intel<sup>®</sup> Advisor
- **Roofline Tutorial Video**
- Article: What is a Roofline Model?



Figure 4. Discovering XGC1 bottlenecks



Reference in this case study to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government, the U.S. Department of Energy, or The Regents of the University of California or the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. The views and opinions expressed in the case study do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, the U.S. Department of Energy, The Regents of the University of California or the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.

Intel technologies' features and benefits depend on system configuration and may require enabled hardware, software, or service activation.

Performance varies depending on system configuration. No computer system can be absolutely secure. Check with your system manufacturer or retailer, or learn more at www.intel.com. Intel's compilers may or may not optimize to the same degree for non-Intel microprocessors for optimizations that are not unique to Intel microprocessors. These optimizations include SSE2, SSE3, and SSSE3 instruction sets and other optimizations. Intel does not guarantee the availability, functionality, or effectiveness of any optimization on microprocessors not manufactured by Intel. Microprocessor-dependent optimizations in this product are intended for use with Intel microprocessors. Certain optimizations not specific to Intel microarchitecture are reserved for Intel microprocessors. Please refer to the applicable product User and Reference Guides for more information regarding the specific instruction sets covered by this notice. Notice revision #20110804

Software and workloads used in performance tests may have been optimized for performance only on Intel microprocessors. Performance tests, such as SYSmark and MobileMark, are measured using specific computer systems, components, software, operations and functions. Any change to any of those factors may cause the results to vary. You should consult other information and performance tests to assist you in fully evaluating your contemplated purchases, including the performance of that product when combined with other products. For more information go to www.intel. com/performance.

Intel does not control or audit the design or implementation of third party benchmark data or Web sites referenced in this document. Intel encourages all of its customers to visit the referenced Web sites or others where similar performance benchmark data are reported and confirm whether the referenced benchmark data are accurate and reflect performance of systems available for purchase. This document and the information given are for the convenience of Intel's customer base and are provided "AS IS" WITH NO WARRANTIES WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING ANY IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, AND NONINFRINGEMENT OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS. Receipt or possession of this document does not grant any license to any of the intellectual property described, displayed, or contained herein. Intel® products are not intended for use in medical, lifesaving, life-sustaining, critical control, or safety systems, or in nuclear facility applications.

Copyright © 2017 Intel Corporation. All rights reserved. Intel, Xeon, Xeon Phi, and the Intel logo are trademarks of Intel Corporation in the U.S. and/or other countries.

\* Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others. Printed in USA 0417/SS Please Recycle